IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT JODHPUR

O R D E R

Saleem & Anr. Vs. State of Raj.
S.B. CR. REVISION PETITION NO.1142/2003

Against judgment dated 20.05.2003 passed by learned Special Judge SC/ST (Prevention of Atrocities), Udaipur in Criminal Appeal No.18/2001.

Date of Order :: 27th November, 2006.

PRESENT

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SATYA PRAKASH PATHAK

Mr. Arjun Purohit for petitioner.
Mr. V.R. Mehta, Public Prosecutor.

BY THE COURT:

By the present revision petition challenge has been made to the order passed by learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate No.1, Udaipur in Criminal Case No.1159/2000 vide judgment dated 03.04.2001, whereby the learned trial court convicted the accused petitioner for the offences under Sections 457 and 380 IPC and sentenced him to undergo three years imprisonment with fine of Rs.100/- in default further to

undergo one month's simple imprisonment. This judgment was challenged before the learned Appellate Court and the learned Appellate Court vide its order dated 20.05.2003 confirmed the judgment passed by the learned trial court.

The learned trial court as well as the learned Appellate Court both have discussed the evidence in detail and no infirmity has been pointed out to show that the courts below have not appreciated the evidence properly, therefore, the findings of fact recorded in the matter stands proved.

In view of above, I do not find any illegality or infirmity in the impugned judgment passed by learned trial court and the order confirmed by the learned Appellate Court. Hence, there is no merit in the revision petition and same stands dismissed.

(SATYA PRAKASH PATHAK)J.

vij